

Teaching Segment 2: Other Carbon Market Schemes















Carbon Market Programmes

Carbon Market Programme	Voluntary vs Regulatory	Comments
Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)	Regulatory	Certified Emission Reductions (CERs) can be used for compliance with Kyoto commitments
European Union Emission Trading System (EU ETS)	Regulatory	EU ETS regulates emissions from power generation and other industries in the EU
Voluntary Carbon Markets	Voluntary	Companies, individuals and events buy emission reductions to reduce their carbon footprint
		·
21 & 22 March 2012 Jamaica		7 퉱 💽 兰 😂















Voluntary vs. Compliance						
	Voluntary	Compliance				
Commodity	VER	CER				
Price	Variable accordingly with standard and project (typically ~ USD2-6)	Higher (~ USD11)				
Coverage	Voluntary / Worldwide	Annex 1 counries				
Market Size	Smaller	Larger				
Volume	2009: 98 MtCO2 2010: 131 MtCO2	2009: 7,437 MtCO2 2010: 6,692 MtCO2				
Regulation	No formal regulation	UNFCCC EB				
Methodologies	CDM, Verified Carbon Standard (VSC), Gold Standard, others	Approved by EB				
Independent Third Party	CDM DOEs and others	DOEs and EB				
Participation requirements/ transaction costs	Variable / Less than regulatory market programmes, but can be high depending on standards	Rigorous/high				









21 & 22 March 2012 | Jamaica

19















Activities under the Voluntary Market				
Examples of Project Activities in t Activity Category	Project Type			
Renewable Energy: • Grid connected • Off grid	 Small hydro (run-of-river) PV home lighting systems 			
Energy Efficiency • Grid connected • Off grid	Compact florescent lampsFuel efficiency wood stoves			
Methane Capture Landfill Agricultural 	 Landfill gas to energy Pig manure to energy 			
Forestry Trees planting Conservation 	Watershed reforestationForest protection			
Others	Bicycle sharing (NEW!!)			
21 & 22 March 2012 Jamaica	27			

Activities under the Voluntary Market Project Types Top 3						
	2009	2010				
	Landfill methane	REDD (Emission Reductions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation) / Avoided Conversion				
	Afforestation/Reforestation	Landfill methane				
	Wind	Wind				
21 & 22 N	Aarch 2012 Jamaica	28				







































For all other AFOLU projects other than such ALM projects:
 A minimum of 20 years up to a maximum of 100 years, which may be renewed at most four times with a total project crediting period not to exceed 100 years.

· Renewal of the project crediting period:

- A full reassessment of additionality is not required.
- The validity of the original baseline scenario shall be demonstrated
- The updated project description shall be validated in accordance with the VCS rules.







itp **Climate Action Reserve** Climate Action Reserve's GHG emissions reduction project protocols provide regulatory-quality guidelines for project development and the quantification of carbon offset credits, known as Climate Reserve Tonnes (CRT) Different project protocols that define the criteria against which projects are verified Adopted protocols: 12 protocols have been adopted: Cola Mine Methane, Forest, Mexico Landfill, Mexico Livestock, Nitric Acid Production, Organic Waste Composting, Organic Waste Digestion, Ozone Depleting Substances, Rice Cultivation, Urban Forest, U.S. Landfill and U.S. Livestock - 3 protocols are in progress; - issuing carbon credits generated from such projects; and - tracking the credits over time on a transparent, publicly-accessible system 21 & 22 March 2012 | Jamaica



ITD **Climate Action Reserve** Differences between the Climate Action Reserve and Chicago Climate Exchange Climate Action Reserve Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX) is not an exchange. is a voluntary, for-profit GHG trading is a non-profit registry that serializes and system; CCX members agree to legally tracks GHG reductions generated in binding voluntary GHG reduction adherence to the Reserve protocols and targets. independently verified by accredited the CCX has its own set of protocols for verification bodies. quantifying and certifying emission Although offset credits may be reductions from offset projects. transferred between accounts in the However, offset credits comprise only a Reserve registry, credits are not traded through the Reserve system and the fraction of the total number of Reserve plays no role in setting the price tradable emission certificates issued by for CRTs. the CCX (the majority are emission CRTs are sold under the Gree Exchange allowances) and Chicago Climate Fuures Exchange (CCFF)

















61

ALS!

S 🔟 🚳

Schemes & Standards under the Voluntary Market

CHICAGO CLIMATE EXCHANGE (CCX)

21 & 22 March 2012 | Jamaica

ITU











3/13/12

Climate, Community and Biodiversity (CCB) Standards

• Variety of users:

ITD

 Project developers and other stakeholders - Communites, NGOs, agencies etc. use the CCB standards development of projects that deliver a suite of environmental and community benefits (e.g., a reforestation project that provides the environmental and social cobenefits identified by the Standards may attract funds from a variety of groups: private investors for the carbon credits, governments for sustainable development and philanthropic organizations for biodiversity conservation)

 Project investors and offset buyers: Private companies, multilateral agencies and other funders investing in carbon credits can use the CCB Standards as a project screen (identify projects that actively address environmental and social performance factors, lowering the risks to effective project implementation)










itp **Forest-Based Standards** Plan Vivo: - program designed for community-based forest management and agroforestry payments for ecosystem services projects. - The system was created over a decade ago by the Edinburgh Center for Carbon Management and is now developed and overseen by a Scottish charity, the Plan Vivo Foundation. - There are currently 5 fully operational Plan Vivo projects in Mexico, Uganda, Mozambique, Tanzania and Nicaragua and several upcoming projects in developing countries including Malawi, Cameroon, Ethiopia, and Nepal. - Plan Vivo maintains a listing of projects on its website and lists credits (Plan Vivo Certificates) on the Markit Environmental Registry. 73 21 & 22 March 2012 | Jamaica







Tra	adin	g Platfo	rms		
Exchange Host	st Company	Credits Traded	Formal Affiliations with Voluntary Standards, Registries, Schemes	Launch Date of VER Trading	VER-related Fees (US\$ except where otherwise specified)
Africa Carbon ACX Exchange		CERs, VERs (multiple standards)	To Be Determined	2011	Unknown
Carbon Trade CTX Exchange	c	VERs (multiple standards)	Markit	2010	7% (5% on the sel side and 2% on the buy side)
	na Beijing Equity hange	VERs (multiple standards)	BlueNext	2006	Unknown
Climex Clima		EUAs, CERs, ERUs, RECs, VERs (multiple standards)	None	2007	Auctioneer: 1.75% of transacted amount; Buyer: 1 1.75% of transacted amount
	en Exchange	CRTs, EUAs, CERs, RGGI, NOx and SO ₂ futures and options emissions allowances	Climate Action Reserve	2010	\$2.50/contract (Contract = 1,000 CRTs)
Tianjin Climate hang Exchange Petro	na National	VERs and other major pollutants (CDM and EMC development consulting)	To Be Determined	2009	Unknown
	rld Energy utions, Inc.	RECs, RGGI, VERs (multiple standards), VERRs (Canada's GHG CleanProjects Registry), Alberta Offsets	Markit, Gold Standard, Canadian Standards Association (GHG CleanProjects Registry), BMV Standard	2008	Brokerage fee: 1- 1.5% of total transaction per side
Tianjin Climate Exchange Petre Com World Green Worl	erContinentalExc Ige and The na National roleum npany vid Energy utions, Inc.	VERs and other major pollutants (CDM and EMC development consulting) RECs, RGGI, VERs (multiple standards), VERs (Canada's GHC CleanProjects Registry),	Markit, Gold Standard, Canadian Standards Association (GHG CleanProjects Registry), BMV Standard	2008	



Costs of the Market Participation Costs:	e Volu	ntary	Market	s
Activity	Estin	nates Example C	osts - \$ US	
	Full Scale CDM Project	Small Scale CDM Project	Voluntary Gold Standard*	*This illustration is for a "micro-scale" project <5,000
Project Design Document Preparation	45,000	20,000	7,500	tCO2/Yr. The costs
Stakeholder Consultation & Host Country Approval	10,000	5,000	2,500	for larger-scale projects would tend to be
Validation	30,000	12,500	5,000	substantially higher
Registration Fee	30,000	5,000	N-A	
Transaction Negotiation & Contrating	20,000	10,000	5,000	
Project Monitoring (Periodic)	Varies	Varies	Varies	
Initial Verification	15,000	7,500	2,500	
Periodic Verification (Cost per verification)	10,000	5,000	2,500	
Approximate Total:	>160,000	>65,000	>25,000	
21 & 22 March 2012 Jamaic	a		79	

Costs of Example of Potenti	f the Vol			
Project Example	Total Installed System Cost	Net ER Revenue, 1st Year	Net ER Revenue, 10 Year Crediting	Net Carbon Revenue as % of System Cost*
1,000 PV Home Lighting Systems	\$500,000	-\$22,300	\$2,000	0.4%
2 MW Wind Farms	\$2,500,000	\$29,000	\$515,000	21%
15 Micro-Hydro System	\$412,500	-\$475	\$220,250	53%
1,000 Biogas Stoves	\$300,000	\$11,750	\$342,500	114%
Assumptions: \$7.50/tCO2emissic 25,000 over the project life. Act onsiderably.				















	s and F ntary Ca	-			
Global Carbon Ma	rket				
 Voluntary carbon global carbon m 					e
	Volume (i	MtCO2e)	Value (US	\$ million)	
Markets	2009	2010	2009	2010	
Voluntary OTC	55	128	354	414	
CCX	41	2	50	0.2	
Other Exchanges	2	2	12	10]
Total Voluntary Markets	98	131	415	424	
EU ETS	5,510	5,529	105,746	106,024	
Primary CDM	135	94	2,858	1,325	
	000	1,005	15,719	15,904	
Secondary CDM	889	1,005	10,715		
Secondary CDM Kyoto [AAU]	135	1,005	1,429	265	
		,	'	,	Source: State o
Kyoto [AAU]	135	19	1,429	265	Source: State of the Voluntary Market 2011



• va	ne of T i lue of th	Volu ransact	ntary ^{ions}	v Carl	oon A	of the Marke	
		2009			2010		
Market	Volume (<i>MtCO2e</i>)	Avg. Price (US\$)	Value (US\$ million)	Volume (<i>MtCOze</i>)	Avg. Price (US\$)	Value (US\$ million)	Voluntary Carbon Markets Volumes and
Voluntary market total	98		415	131		424	Value Overview, 2010
Of which OTC	55	6.5	354	128	6	414	
Of which CCX	41	1.2	50	2	0.1	0.2	
Of which other exchanges	2	6.2	12	2	6	10	Source: State of the Voluntary Market 2011
24.6.6	22 March						









































Opportu Project Group		e Caribbean R	egion
Project Type	Description	Co-benefits	Points to consider
Energy Efficiency	Fossil fuel use is decreased by using it more efficiently	Cost savings Supports clean technology and reduces fossil fuel dependency and co-pollutants such as Sox, PM and VOCs	If savings are greater than costs - the need for carbon finance should be consider
Off-grid renewable energy, grid renewable energy and fuel switching	Fuel switching projects utilize fuels (such as renewable energy sources) that provide energy with fewer emissions	Reduction of other pollutants and reduced dependency on fossil fuels	Support clean technology
21 & 22 March 2	2012 Jamaica	1	1.20

Opportunities in the Caribbean Region Project Group: Bio-carbon Sequestration				
Project Type	Description	Co-benefits	Points to consider	
Reforestation / afforestation of native tree species	Carbon is sequestered in tree biomass and soil	Range of potential social and environmental benefits, such as biodiversity conservation, water filtration, erosion protection, etc	Easy to communicate and tangible land restored. Measuring and monitoring relatively complex. Permanence and leakage risks	
Reforestation / afforestation monoculture forestry	Carbon is sequestered in tree biomass and soil	Range of potential social and environmental benefits, such as biodiversity conservation, water filtration, erosion protection, etc	Easy to communicate and tangible land restored. Measuring and monitoring relatively complex. Permanence and leakage risks. Potential concerns around environmental and social trade- offs. Potentially an extra income stream for sustainable timber harvesting	
21 & 22 Marc	:h 2012 Jam	aica	12	

		the Caribbe Sequestration (Cor	
Project Type	Description	Co-benefits	Points to consider
Avoided deforestation of native tree species	Conserving or changing forest management practices maintains carbon sequestration and avoids emissions released to the atmosphere	Range of potential social and environmental benefits, such as biodiversity conservation, water filtration, erosion protection, etc	Easy to communicate and tangible land restored. Measuring and monitoring relatively complex. Permanence and leakage risks. Not currently obtaining carbon finance under the Kyoto markets.
Soil sequestration	Carbon sequestered in soil is increased by farming practices such as no-till	Numerous potential environmental benefits, such as reduced soil erosion and water pollution	No-till often linked with GMO crops. Significant permanence and financial additionality questions
21 & 22 Marc	:h 2012 Jamaica		13

Oppor Project Gro		the Caribbe	an Region
Project Type	Description	Co-benefits	Points to consider
destruction from landfills	Decomposing waste is covered by anaerobic digesters that cap and flare methane which can also be used as a fuel source	Somewhat reduced odors and risk of groundwater contamination. The methane can be used to produce electricity that can be used on-site or/ and supplied to the grid	Projects are easy to monitor and measure.
capture and destruction	Animal waste is covered by anaerobic digesters that cap and flare methane which can also be used as a fuel source	Reduced odors and risk of groundwater contamination. The methane can be used to produce electricity that can be used on-site or/ and supplied to the grid	Projects are easy to monitor and measure.



15

21 & 22 March 2012 | Jamaica























3/13/12





















·	roved Household Charc Stoves in Mali	coal
Project Timelir	Activity	Date
	Letter of Agreement between Katene (stove manufacturer) and E+Carbon	27/11/2007
~ 2 years until project	ERPA signing between Katene and E+ Carbon	03/12/2007
registration	Local Stakeholder Consultation	27/06/2008
under the	First PDD version	23/09/2008
GS	Validation start	30/09/2008
	Validation complete	24/08/2009
	GS Project Registration	09/09/2009
	Dissemination of Stoves	01/12/2009
21 & 22 March 20	12 Jamaica	37



Improved Househ Stoves in	Mali	
Sustainable Development Assessme	ent and Monit	oring
Sustainable Development Matrix	Score (-2 to 2)	5
Local/Regional/global environment Water quality and quantity Air quality*	0	The sustainability analysis assesses the project in tern
Other pollutants* Soil condition Biodiversity	0	of environmental and sustainable development
Sub-total	3	impact. <u>This is one of the</u> <u>key aspects of a Gold</u>
Social sustainability and development Employment quality* Livelihood of the poor*	1 2	• The scoring is done
Access to energy services" Human and institutional capacity	1 1 5	depending on the impact of the project - greatest posit
Economic and technological development Employment (numbers)*	4	(+2), additional positive (+1 no impact (0) and negative
Balance of Payments (sustainability) Technological self-reliance	0	impacts (-1 and -2)
Sub-total	2	-



itp	Stov	es in Mali	i	cout	
Sustainable Dev	elopment A	ssessment and	Monito	oring	
Example : As	Sustainable Deve	elopment Variables (Gold Standard R	equirement)		
reported during the Monitoring	Sustainable Development Indicator	Data type	Data variable	Data unit	Value
Report 1	Air Quality	Self-reported IAP reduction, and/or ambient CO & PM concentrations	Reduced indoor air pollution (IAP)	Ambient IAP concentration	"Reduced smoke", "reduced eye imitation and coughing were reported by users" as one of the reasons they use a Sewa stove
	Livelhood of the Poor	Survey results	Household fuel cost savings	\$ saved/year	59,860 CFAlyear
	Employment	New employment	Job creation	Jobs/Year	No new job created since project start date Current number of employees is 16
	Employment quality	Periodic assessment of conditions	Employment quality	Qualitative assessment	Katene provides wages and benefits that exceed Malian labor law requirements

itp		l Househ toves in	old Charc Mali	oal	
Emission Reduction Emission reduction c	lai	med for reduc	ed usage of no	on renewabl	e
woody biomass and i					
of the improved cooking device.	Year		Estimation of baseline emissions (tons CO2e)	Estimation of leakage (tonnes CO ₂ e)	Estimation of emission reductions (tons CO2e)
	2008	28,254	37,579	0	9,325
Tatal: (01 (E1 +CO)a	2009	82,778	110,100	0	27,321
Total: 691,651 tCO2e	2010	132,401	176,100	0	43,699
in 10 years crediting	2011	175,163	232,976	0	57,813
period	2012	210,998	280,639	0	69,641
	2013	238,847	317,680	0	78,833
	2014	264,193	351,390	0	87,198
	2015	290,612	386,529	0	95,918
	2016	320,593	426,405	0	105,813
	2017	351,732	467,823	0	116,091
	Total	2,095,570	2,787,221	0	691,651



		ved Ho Stove	es in A	Nali		
	Sustainable Development	Data type	Data variable	Data unit	Value	Source
Project mpact - Gold Standard	Indicator Air Quality	Self-reported IAP reduction, and/or ambient CO & PM concentrations	Reduced indoor air pollution (IAP)	Ambient IAP concentration	"Reduced smoke", "reduced eye irritation and coughing were reported by users" as one of the reasons they use a Sewa stove	Berkeley Air's 2008 Baseline Kitchen Survey (raw data) Conducted March-April 2008
ustainab	Livelihood of the Poor	Survey results	Household fuel cost savings	\$ saved/year	59,860 CFA/year	Berkeley Air's 2008 Annual Carbon Monitoring Report: Sewa improved charcoal stoves, Katene Kadji, Mali Issued May 12, 2009
lity ndicators	Employment	New employment	Job creation	Jobs/Year	No new job created since project start date. Current number of employees is 16	E+Co Monitoring and Evaluation Baseline Report January 3, 2008
	Employment quality	Periodic assessment of conditions	Employment quality	Qualitative assessment	Katene provides wages and benefits that exceed Malian labor law requirements	Interview with entrepreneur
1	Access to energy services	Extrapolated based on total sales and average	Improved energy access	People/year	222,572people/ye ar ⁹	Berkeley Air reporting
	Other Pollutants	household size Periodic assessment of conditions	Proper disposal	Qualitative assessment	Scrap metal is sold to peddlers who resell them to smelting companies. Empty paint cans are collected in bags to avoid excess release of fumes	Sales records from 12/107-3/8/09 Site visit by project proponent

























	010 and pro	oject pipeli	ine througl	n 2016	
		Insold 2010 (MtCOje)	Pipeline through Dec. 31, 2015 Volume (MtCO.e)		
roject Type Category	Pure Voluntary	Pre-Compliance	Pure Voluntary	Pre-Compliance	
restry and Land-Use	136.4	1.5	291.6	94.8	
enewable Energy	4.4	.2	40.1	1.2	
ethane	2.9	.08	11.5	8.4	
ficiency and Fuel Switching	1.5	1.5	20.2	4.2	
ndustrial Gases	.6	.05	1.1	13.4	
DTAL	145.9	3.4	364.6	122.1	





