Teaching Tips

A Forum for discussion and tips for advancing teaching and learning at Mona

Instructional Development Unit UWI, Mona



May/June/July 2011 Summer Edition

Volume 3 Number 7

Teaching to Develop Critical Thinking Dispositions and Skills

The development of critical thinking has been a continuing concern of educators in higher education for many years. The Instructional Development Unit has noted that faculty consistently lists the development of critical thinking skills as one of their most challenging tasks as university teachers at the University of the West Indies, Mona Campus.

There are various definitions for critical thinking ranging from the very narrow—a well-reasoned evaluative judgment (King & Kitchener, 1994), to the very broad—thinking that involves more than the mere acquisition and recall of factual information (Greeno, 1989). Others suggest that critical thinking comprises all "deeper" thought processes over and above mere memorization and factual recall and involves reflecting, evaluating, analyzing, and interpreting the information received. The above definition is more than asserting that critical thinking is being critical and this is a common misconception. Critical thinking is also more than engaging in the cognitive process of evaluation or critique; in fact evaluation is just one aspect or form of critical thinking.

The California Critical Thinking Dispositional Inventory (Facione, 1994) provides a list of seven core attitudes for the development of critical thinking. These core attitudes are helpful in our quest to teach in ways that will empower our students to think critically. These dispositions are: truthseeking, open-mindedness, systematicity, self confidence, analyticity, inquisitiveness and cognitive maturity.

References

Cuseo, J. (n.d.) Questions that promote deeper thinking. Retrieved April 10, 2011 from: www.oncoursework.com/Learning030.htm

Facione N. C., Facione. P. A., & Sanchez, C. A. (1994). Critical thinking disposition as a measure of competent clinical judgment: The development of the California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory. *Journal of Nursing Education* 33, 345–350.

Greeno, J. (1989). A perspective on thinking. *American Psychologist*, 44 (2), 134-141.

King, P. M., & Kitchener, K. S. (1994). Developing reflective judgment: Understanding and promoting intellectual growth and critical thinking in adolescents and adults. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Special points of interest:

- The Teaching Tips Newsletter is a publication of the Instructional Development Unit (IDU) at the UWI, Mona.
- The Newsletter will be published once per month and will focus on tips for improving teaching and learning in higher education. The Newsletter will be available online as well as in the office of the IDU.
- If you have an area that you would like to explore using this medium, do not hesitate to contact us at the IDU.

How can we best help our students develop good critical thinking skills? Here are some suggestions:



Consider the following steps in your course design to encourage students to engage in critical thinking:

- Step 1: Familiarize yourself with the principles of developing critical thinking dispositions and skills.
- Step 2: Incorporate critical thinking objectives into your course.
- Step 3: Identify strategies for students to carry out the critical thinking activities.
- Step 4: Develop writing activities that foster critical thinking.
- Step 5: Pinpoint supporting strategies to aid/coach students in critical thinking.

Developing Critical Thinking Dispositions & Skills

The following list (Cuseo, n.d.) of thinking skills is recommended as we seek to develop teaching strategies to promote critical thinking Each skill is followed by a

to promote critical thinking. Each skill is followed by a question. In order to elicit the skill in learners, teachers must engage in questions or other activities that focus	particular that may have more general or universal value?
on the development of that skill.	8. Balanced Thinking: to carefully consider argu-
on the development of that skin.	ments/evidence for and against a particular position or
1. Comprehension (Understanding): to convert infor-	viewpoint.
mation into a form that is personally meaningful, i.e.,	What are the strengths/advantages and weak-
that makes sense to the individual who is learning it.	nesses/disadvantages of?
How would you put into your own words?	What evidence supports and contradicts?
(Paraphrasing)	F23
What would be an example of? (Illustrating)	Rensoning
2. Application: to apply abstract or theoretical princi-	
ples to concrete, practical situations.	
How can you make use of?	Analyzing CRITICAL Evaluating
How could be put into practice?	THINKING SKILLS
3. Analysis: to break down or dissect information into	
its component parts in order to detect the relationship	
among the parts, or the relationship between the parts	Decision Making Problem Solving
and the whole.	
What are the most important/significant ideas or	9. Multiple Perspective-Taking: to view an issue from
elements of ? (Prioritization)	a variety of viewpoints, standpoints, or positions in
What assumptions/biases underlie or are hidden	order to gain a more comprehensive and holistic under-
within? (Deconstruction)	standing.
What parts of would be similar to/different	How would people from different socioeconomic
than? (Comparison-and-Contrast)	backgrounds be affected by?
than! (Comparison-ana-Contrast)	
4 9 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	How would people who differ in age or gender
4. Synthesis: to build up or connect separate pieces of	react to?
information to form a larger, more coherent pattern.	10 C D 4- :l 1 -654
How can this idea be combined with to	10. Causal Reasoning: to identify cause and effect
create a more complete or comprehensive under-	relationships between different ideas or actions.
standing of? (Integration)	What is responsible for?
How could these different ideas be grouped	How would affect or influence?
together into a more general category?	
(Classification)	11. Ethical Reasoning: to identify what is morally
Mark 1980 W. 1981 W. 1	right/wrong or good/bad about particular ideas, atti-
5. Evaluation: to critically judge the validity (truth),	tudes, or practices.
morality (ethics), or aesthetic (artistic) value of ideas,	What doessay about a person's values?
data, or products using relevant assessment criteria.	What are the moral implications of?
How would you judge the accuracy or validity of	
?	12. Creative Thinking: to generate imaginative ideas,
How would you evaluate the ethical (moral) impli-	unique perspectives, innovative strategies, or novel
cations or consequences of?	(alternative) approaches to traditional practices.
	What might be a metaphor or analogy for?
6. Deduction: to draw conclusions about particular	What might happen if? (hypothetical reason-
instances, or to derive from general principles and	ing).
premises.	
What specific conclusions can be drawn from this	
general?	
What particular actions or practices would be con-	Instructional Development Unit
sistent with this general?	Voice: 970-0376
biblioni with this general	Tel: 9271660-9 extn 2341, 2730

7. Induction: to infer (derive or draw out) wellreasoned generalizations or principles from individual

instances or specific examples. To extrapolate a con-

cept learned in one context and transfer that learning to

What can be extrapolated or extended from this

E-mail: idu@uwimona.edu.jm or idu.unit@gmail.com www.facebook.com: Instructional Development Unit Instant Messaging account: idu@uvimona.edu.jm

What patterns or themes emerge from

another context.