

Cemento- Ossifying Fibroma of Mandible - A Case Report with Review of Literature

RL Castelino¹, U Shetty², SG Babu¹, P Balan¹

ABSTRACT

Cemento-osseous dysplasia (COD) is a non-neoplastic process usually confined to the tooth bearing areas of the jaws or edentulous alveolar processes. It is mostly seen in the third and fourth decade of life. It is mostly seen in women and mandible is the most common location in 70% of cases in the premolar- molar region. The present case report reports a case of cement-ossifying fibroma with clinical features and radiographic features in a 23 year old female patient.

Keywords: Cementum, mandible, ossifying, radiopaque

From: ¹Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, A B Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Nitte University, Mangalore and ²Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, A B Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Nitte University, Mangalore.

Correspondence: Dr Renita Lorina Castelino, Senior Lecturer, MDS, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, A B Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Nitte University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India. E-mail: renita.castelino@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Ossifying fibroma is a benign fibro-osseous neoplasm uncommon lesion rarely seen in the jaws and craniofacial bones of head and neck region. The lesions of the jaws usually arise in the tooth bearing regions (1). Cemento- ossifying fibroma is a slow-growing lesion most commonly seen in women during the third and fourth decades of life. Most of the cases are asymptomatic. The lesion over the time can cause facial asymmetry causing discomfort to the patient (2). The case presented here is case of cemento– ossifying fibroma in a female patient which had caused facial asymmetry.

CASE REPORT

A 23 year old female patient reported to the Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology with the complaint of swelling in left back region of the jaw since one month. The patient gave history of trauma one month back. The patient noticed the swelling 2-3 days later which was growing till the patient reported to us. The swelling was asymptomatic and the patient visited a local physician who referred the patient to our institute. On extra oral examination a diffuse swelling was noted in the left back region (Fig. 1). The overlying skin appeared normal. On intra oral examination diffuse swelling was noted on the left buccal vestibule with respect to 34, 35 and 36 region measuring approximately 3x2 cm in size obliterating the buccal vestibule (Fig. 2). There was no obliteration of the lingual vestibule. On palpation, the swelling was tender and firm in consistency. As a part of chair side investigations electric pulp testing was done which revealed a delayed response of teeth in the third quadrant. Fine needle aspiration of the swelling was performed which yielded a negative aspirate. Based on the history and clinical examination, a provisional diagnosis of traumatic cyst was made. The differential diagnosis considered were ameloblastoma and fibro osseous lesion of the left side

of the mandible. On radiological examination, an orthopantomogram was made which revealed a mixed radiolucent- radiopaque lesion in the left premolar molar region measuring approximately 6x5 cm in size extending posteriorly up to the mesial root of 37, superiorly up to the alveolar ridge, inferiorly up to the inferior border of mandible (Fig. 3). The lesion had displaced the roots of 35 and 36. The anterior extent of the lesion could not be made out from the orthopantomogram and hence an anterior lateral oblique of left side of mandible was made which revealed the anterior extent till the periapex of 34 (Fig. 4). The internal of the lesion showed diffuse radiopacities in the superior portion of the radiolucency attached to the periapex of 36. A submento vertex was made which revealed expansion of the buccal and the lingual plate on the left side when compared to the right side of the mandible. Based on the radiological investigation a radiographic diagnosis of cement ossifying fibroma was considered. A bone biopsy raising a mucoperiosteal flap was then planned under local anaesthesia. The entire lesion was removed along with thorough curettage through the bone window created during osteotomy (Fig. 5). The entire lesion was removed through the bony window created (Fig. 6). The specimen was then sent for histopathological examination. The histopathological report revealed the presence of cellular fibrous stroma containing basophilic calcifications with concentric and acellular mineralization at the centre (cementoid type) and other areas presenting recently formed osteoid with peripheral osteoblasts distributed throughout the stroma. There was no atypias or mitotic figures found, and the definitive diagnosis of cement-ossifying fibroma was given (Fig. 7). The patient was kept on a long term follow up and no recurrence of the lesion was noted.

DISCUSSION

Fibro-osseous lesions are characterized by replacement of normal bone architecture by collagen fibers and fibroblasts that contain varying amounts of mineralized substances which may be bony or cementum like in appearance. FO lesions comprise fibrous dysplasia, periapical cemento-osseous dysplasia, focal cemento-osseous dysplasia, florid cementoosseous dysplasia and cemento-ossifying fibroma (COF) (3). Cemento-ossifying fibroma is a benign fibro-osseous maxillary tumor belonging to the same category as fibrous dysplasia and cement-ossifying dysplasia (4) COF is defined by WHO as a demarcated or rarely encapsulated neoplasm consisting of fibrous tissue containing varying amounts of mineralized material (bone and/or cementum) (5). In 1872, Menzel gave the first description of COF as a variant of ossifying fibroma (3). Most of the cases are asymptomatic and the growth of the lesion over the time may lead to facial asymmetry due to mandibular expansion and possible displacement of dental roots (6, 7) as seen in our case. COF is a disorder of unknown etiology. Bernier hypothesized that COF in the bone might be caused by an irritant stimulus which may activate the production of new tissue from the remaining periodontal membranes.(3) The periodontal membrane contains multipotential cells that are capable of forming cementum, lamellar bone and fibrous tissue. The current theories regarding their origin include traumatic and developmental causes. (8) In our case also the patient gave a history of trauma. COF is more commonly seen in the young females. There is a marked with female: male ratio of 2:1. The premolar–molar region of mandible is more commonly involved than maxilla. The lesion is generally asymptomatic until the growth produces a noticeable swelling and mild deformity as seen in our case. Radiologically, these tumors may present a number of patterns depending on their degree of mineralization (9). Radiographically, it is characterized by three stages: initial or early, mixed and mature stage. The case described here falls under the mixed stage due to the radiolucency and radiopacity

seen in the lesion as seen in our case. The margins of the lesion are relatively well defined and may cause resorption or displacement of the roots of the teeth (9, 10). Displacement of roots was seen in our case. The differential diagnosis which can be closely considered is fibrous dysplasia since the morphology is similar. (10) A careful clinical and radiological examination will aid in the definitive diagnosis. Other conditions to be taken into account are lesions appearing as a mixed periapical image such as calcifying odontogenic cysts or cementoblastomas, which are seen to be associated to the roots of vital permanent teeth. Due to the well-defined margins surgical removal and curettage is the treatment of choice as was done in our case. The prognosis is usually good as recurrences are not frequent

REFERENCES

1. Regezi JA, Sciubba JJ. Oral pathology-clinical pathologic correlations. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co; 1999. p. 357–60.
2. Silvestre-Rangil J, Silvestre FJ, Requeni-Bernal J. Cemento-ossifying fibroma of the mandible: Presentation of a case and review of the literature. *J Clin Exp Dent* 2011; **3**: e66–9.
3. More C, Thakkar K, Asrani M. Cemento-ossifying fibroma. *Indian J Dent Res* 2011; **22**: 352–5.
4. Liu Y, Wang H, You M, Yang Z, Miao J, Shimizutani K et al. Ossifying fibromas of the jaw bone: 20 cases. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2010; **39**: 57–63
5. Kramer IR, Pindborg JJ, Shear M. The World Health Organization histological typing of odontogenic tumours: Introducing the second edition. *Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol* 1993; **29B**: 169–71.
6. Sanchis JM, Peñarrocha M, Balaguer JM, Camacho F. Fibroma cemento-osificante mandibular: Presentación de dos casos y revisión de la literatura. *Med Oral* 2004; **9**: 69–7.
7. Ong AH, Siar CH. Cemento ossifying fibroma with mandibular fracture. Case report in a young patient. *Aust Dent J* 1998; **43**: 229–33.
8. Cakir B, Karadayi N. Ossifying fibroma in the nasopharynx: A case report. *Clin Imaging* 1991; **15**: 290–2.
9. Galdeano-Arenas M, Crespo-Pinilla JI, Álvarez-Otero R, Espeso- Ferrero A, Verrier-Hernández A. Fibroma cemento-osificante gingival mandibular. presentación de un caso. *Med Oral* 2004; **9**: 176–9.



Fig. 1: Clinical photograph showing facial asymmetry



Fig. 2: Intra oral photograph showing obliteration of buccal vestibule



Fig. 3: Orthopantomogram showing the mixed radiolucent radiopaque lesion

Cemento-Ossifying Fibroma of Mandible



Fig. 4: Lateral oblique of mandible showing anterior extent of lesion



Fig. 5: Submento- vertex view showing expansion of buccal and lingual plates



Fig. 6: Gross specimen of the lesion




Fig. 7: Photomicrograph showing a cellular fibrous stroma with calcifications and osteoid material within the fibrous stroma (H and E, ×40)