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ABSTRACT

Hepatic hydatid cyst (HC) caused by Echinococcus granulosus is still endemic in many parts of the world, and it is a common health problem, especially in developing countries. The rupture of the HC can cause anaphylactic shock or even death during surgical treatment. We present a case report regarding anaphylactic reaction due to surgery to the liver for HC which has an aberrant venous drainage incidentally detected during surgical operation in a 50-year-old female patient. She was successfully treated with adrenaline, antihistamines, steroids, colloid or crystalloid fluids. After the surgery, she was transferred from the intensive care unit to the surgical ward on the first postoperative day. The possibility of anaphylaxis should be kept in mind; despite all the precautionary measures, nonrupture of the hydatid cyst, and absence of spillage of the cyst into the circulation or into the surrounding tissues, anaphylaxis can still occur. Therefore, close monitoring for early diagnosis and appropriate management of anaphylaxis are essential to stabilize the patient and produce the best outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatic hydatid cyst (HC) caused by Echinococcus granulosus is still endemic in many parts of the world, and it is a common health problem, especially in developing countries. Hydatid cyst is commonly seen primarily in the...
Some procedures such as marsupialization, evacuation of the cyst elements and filling the cyst with saline after evacuation of the endocyst are currently utilized for treatment of HC in the liver (2, 3). The rupture of the HC can cause anaphylactic shock or even death during surgical treatment (4).

In patients undergoing surgery for excision of hydatid cysts, any sudden findings regarding anaphylactic reaction including tachycardia, hypotension, significant bronchospasm and urticaria should alert caregivers to anaphylaxis (5). Herein, we present a case report regarding anaphylactic reaction due to surgery to the liver for HC which had an aberrant venous drainage incidentally detected during the surgical operation.

**CASE REPORT**

A 50-year old female patient presented with complaints of epigastric pain for approximately four months. Laboratory parameters including haematological and biochemical profile were normal. On physical examination, there was no abnormal finding regarding the cardiovascular and respiratory systems. Ultrasound evaluation revealed a 76 × 69 mm HC in segment VI and VII of the liver (Figure). Surgery was decided for the HC.

The patient was premedicated with 2 mg midazolam before induction, and she was monitored by electrocardiogram (ECG), noninvasive blood pressure and peripheral oxygen saturation. Pheniramine maleate (45.5 mg), deksametazon (8 mg) and ranitidine (50 mg) were given for the prophylaxis before induction. Anaesthesia was induced by lidocaine hydrochloride (70 mg), propofol (160 mg), fentanyl (100 µg) and rocuronium (50 mg). Then the trachea was intubated by using a 7.5-mm cuffed silicon endotracheal tube. The patient was continuously monitored by ECG, noninvasive blood pressure monitor, pulse oximetry and end-tidal capnography. Ventilation was maintained by intermittent positive pressure ventilation at the rate of 12 breaths/minute, and tidal volume of 550 mL. Anaesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane plus oxygen and nitrous oxide (50:50). Muscle relaxation was provided by using repeated doses of rocuronium.

There was no problem with respect of anaesthesia during the first 30 minutes. After starting the cyst excision, sudden and persistent desaturation (SpO₂ 60%), hypotension (50/20 mmHg), hypocarbia (EtCO₂ 14 mmHg) and bradycardia (heart rate 30/minute) were noticed. Erythema and flushing, especially in the upper part of the patient’s body, were observed. Therefore, the operation was stopped. At this time, sevoflurane and nitrous oxide were discontinued, and the lungs were ventilated with 100% oxygen for hypoxaemia. Then fluid resuscitation was started for hypotension.

Hypoxaemia did not improve despite 100% oxygen ventilation. Hypotension did not respond to fluid replacement. On the auscultation of the lungs, there was bilateral bronchospasm. The diagnosis was an anaphylactic reaction, and a 200 µg bolus of IV adrenaline was given, followed by an infusion of 5 µg/kg/minute. After, methyl-prednisolone (100 mg) and pheniramine maleates (45.5 mg) were used. Invasive arterial catheter was inserted and arterial blood gas analysis was done. Severe hypoxaemia was seen [PaO₂ 48.3 mmHg, PaCO₂ 55 mmHg, pH 7.17, serum bicarbonate 18 mmol/El, BE -8.4 and SpO₂ 75%]. The patient responded to fluid and epinephrine infusion within 20 minutes.

The blood pressure, SpO₂ and heart rate returned slowly to normal. Following haemodynamic stabilization, the surgery was continued. The surgery team reported that there was an aberrant venous drainage detected in the HC.

After the surgery, the patient was admitted to the intensive care unit and epinephrine infusion was continued for inotropics support for a day. The patient was admitted to the surgical ward on the first postoperative day.

**DISCUSSION**

The incidence of anaphylaxis during anaesthesia and the perioperative period is rare and range from 1 in 6000 to 1 in 20 000 anaesthetics (6). The estimated mortality rate is 3%–6% (5). The common anaphylactoic agents are muscle relaxants, local anaesthetics, antibiotics, latex, chlorhexidine, hypnotics and inhalant agents, protamine, colloids, opioids and antibiotics (6).

Anaphylactic reaction occurs immediately, systemically and can influence various organ systems, and several symptoms have been observed such as respiratory (bronchospasm and upper airway obstruction), cardiovascular (hypotension and arrhythmias), skin (urticaria and angioedema) and gastrointestinal [nausea and vomiting] (6).

A large portion of these symptoms may not be seen under general anaesthesia. During general anesthesia, hypotension, bronchospasm and urticaria are the main symptoms (7, 8).

In a previous study, the incidence of intra-operative anaphylaxis with hydatid cyst was reported to be low at 0.2–
3.3% (9). During surgical cyst removal or percutaneous drainage of the liver, hydatid cyst IgE-mediated anaphylactic reaction occurs when there is spillage or release of the highly antigenic hydatid fluid into the systemic circulation (5, 10). The allergic reactions vary from mild hypersensitivity reaction to a fatal anaphylactic shock, convulsions and coma (3).

Some reports have recommended that the usage of the prophylactic corticosteroid and antihistamines could avoid anaphylactic reactions (5, 11). In the present study, antihistamines and corticosteroids were admitted for the risk of anaphylaxis after induction. However, during the cyst excision, sudden and persistent desaturation, severe hypotension, hypocarbia, bradycardia and diffuse erythema were observed. These symptoms appeared to be primarily related to anaphylaxis due to the spillage of the highly antigenic HC fluid into the bloodstream by the HC rupture. However, the surgical team reported that the cystic wall was intact but there was a vein in the cyst cavity.

Hydatid cyst has a high intracystic pressure and contains antigenic fluid (12). In a previous study, the authors claimed leakage of cystic fluid into the bloodstream due to high intracystic pressure and blunt dissection (3, 12). In our case, we believe that the high intracystic pressure coupled with blunt dissection must have been the cause of leakage of cystic contents through this vein into the circulation with no apparent macroscopic rupture. So, the same aetiology may also be effective in our case. In reviewing the literature, a few anaphylactic reactions have been reported with no apparent macroscopic rupture of the hydatid cysts (1, 12).

When there is unexpected or sudden, rapidly progressive haemodynamic and respiratory problems, the possibility of an anaphylactic reaction should always be considered in respect to an HC rupture, and the diagnosis should be made immediately. Initially, the aim of treatment should be to restore both adequate cardiac output and circulatory competency (2, 13). Some reports have advised use of vasoexpressors with alpha as well as beta stimulating properties (2, 5, 13). Epinephrine is the most appropriate drug of choice in the management of bronchospasm and massive peripheral vasodilatation, which occur in anaphylaxis and sometimes a high dose may be necessary and the infusion may have to be maintained for a long time (2, 13).

In addition to epinephrine, intravascular volume, vascular tone and cardiac output should be supported with colloid or crystalloid fluids (5). In our case, we received a response within 20 minutes to epinephrine, colloid or crystalloid fluids. Besides, inhalation anaesthetics should be stopped and 100% oxygen administered for control of the airway and bronchospasm. Antihistamines are useful prophylactically and may also prevent further histamine binding after the development of anaphylactic reactions (2, 5). Corticosteroids should be given prophylactically to diminish the airway swelling and prevent recurrence of symptoms. Extubation should not be immediate. Airway swelling and inflammation may continue for hours (7).

**CONCLUSION**  
It should be kept in mind that anaphylaxis can occur despite all the precautionary measures and absence of rupture of the hydatid cyst or spillage of the cyst to the circulation or into the surrounding tissues.

The anaesthesist should be aware of anaphylactoid reaction and be prepared for treatment. Consequently, a close monitoring for early diagnosis and appropriate management of anaphylaxis are essential to stabilize the patient and produce the best outcome.
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