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ABSTRACT

Objective: To prove the effectiveness and feasibility of a paclitaxel hirudin complex and to provide experimental data on the prevention of restenosis, we investigated the effects of paclitaxel hirudin complexes on the growth of human coronary artery smooth muscle cells (HCASMCs) and endothelial cells (HCAECs) in vitro.

Methods: HCASMCs and HCAECs were co-incubated with different concentrations of hirudin. Cell viability was assessed using methylthiazolletetrazolium (MTT) assays to determine the optimal concentration range for inhibiting the growth of HCASMCs but not that of HCAECs. Then, cells were incubated with hirudin within the optimal concentration range combined with 1 µmol/L paclitaxel.

Results: Hirudin at 0.2-3.13 mg/mL significantly inhibited the growth of HCASMC (p < 0.05) but not HCAEC (p > 0.05) compared to the control group. This range of hirudin complexed with 1 µmol/L paclitaxel noticeably inhibited the growth of HCASMC (p < 0.05). Moreover, 1 µmol/L paclitaxel+0.39 mg/mL hirudin noticeably decreased the inhibition ratio of the growth of HCAECs compared with the paclitaxel only group (p < 0.05). The complex of 1 µmol/L paclitaxel plus 0.39 mg/mL hirudin can maximize the inhibition of HCASMCs and minimum the inhibition of HCAECs.

Conclusions: The results of this study may provide reference data for the subsequent development of natural herb-eluting stents.
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INTRODUCTION

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) is a major cause of death and seriously imperils human health (1). Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is an important recent breakthrough in revascularizing occluded coronaries, and drug-eluting stents (DESs) have been widely used in the interventional treatment of ASCVD with significant anti-restenosis effect (2). However, the long-term outcome of DES treatment of ASCVD cannot be reliably judged. There is a 10%-20% DES restenosis rate after DES treatment (3). In partial DES treatments, the incidence of major adverse cardiac events was not decreased, and late stent thrombosis is a devastating complication that greatly limits the long-term curative effect of DESs (4, 5).

Restenosis caused by over-healing after percutaneous coronary revascularization is the major obstacle in the development of PCI (6). Randomized clinical and experimental studies have confirmed that exaggerated neointimal thickening and muscle cell transfer induced by vascular injury caused by the intervention process are the main pathological characteristics of restenosis after PCI (7-9). Compared with bare-metal stents (BMSs), DESs reduce the clinical restenosis rate significantly by inhibiting intimal neoproliferation (10). However, this effect is associated with delayed or deficient re-endothelialization, and the neointimal coverage is closely related to the intrastent restenosis and thrombosis (11). The chemical ingredients loaded on the drug-eluting stents are less selective. Chemical ingredients may not only suppress the proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) but also inhibit re-endothelialization of the wound site, causing delayed healing and in-stent thrombosis (12). Therefore, seeking a way to minimize the incidence of in-stent restenosis and to avoid late thrombotic complications is a subject of interest.

Natural herbs are a newer research focus in drug-eluting stent methods. Several herbal active ingredients, such as hirudin, ligustrazine, emodin, allicin, celastrol and salvia
miltiorrhiza, loaded on drug-eluting stents have good biocompatibility as well as anti-proliferative and anti-thrombotic effects (13). Among these effective monomers of natural herbs, hirudin, with a unique antithrombotic effect, attracted our attention.

An extract of leech saliva, hirudin is a direct thrombin inhibitor, unlike heparin. Its role in the inhibition or inactivation of thrombin is not dependent on antithrombin III, heparin cofactor II, protein C or tissue factor pathway inhibitor. Hirudin is not inactivated by platelet combination and can inhibit thrombin-induced platelet aggregation. Hirudin has good anti-coagulant and antithrombotic effects (14, 15). Hirudin could effectively inhibit the hyperplasia of smooth muscle cells (SMCs) in the arterial intima and significantly reduce the intima thickness and the incidence of restenosis after transluminal angioplasty (TA).

Hirudin may also play a role in the prevention of restenosis after TA (16). Hirudin inhibits tritiated thymidine (3H-TdR) incorporation and the proliferation and migration of cultured rabbit aortic SMCs in a concentration-dependent manner (17). Hirudin can be used to prevent restenosis after PCI and has promising prospects in the future (18-20). However, because the components of herbs are complex, the exact effects of selected natural herbal monomers on anti-proliferation and protection against vascular endothelial function are unclear. The effects of a single drug (i.e., hirudin) on the growth of human vascular cells are seldom reported. Most related experimental models are animal cells, and intervention studies of natural herbs on human coronary arteries are rare.

A new biodegradable stent coated with hirudin and the prostacyclin analogue iloprost can inhibit neointima formation and reduce the risk of clots after experimental coronary artery stenting (21-23). Two or more compounds may reduce in-stent restenosis and prevent thrombosis more effectively, and the use of the combination of hirudin and other anti-proliferative drugs in preparing drug-coated stents is feasible. We proposed a strategy for amplifying the advantages of hirudin and enhancing efficiency by combining hirudin with a
natural herb monomer that had a good anti-proliferative effect on SMCs but could not protect endothelial cells.

On the basis of these hypotheses, combined with the latest research progress, we chose paclitaxel and hirudin to prepare the compound. The purpose of this study was to obtain the appropriate ratio of paclitaxel to hirudin to provide experimental data and new hypotheses for the research and development of natural herb-eluting stents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and reagents

Human coronary artery smooth muscle cells (HCASMCs) and endothelial cells (HCAECs), HCASMC and HCAEC media, Trypsin EDTA, Trypsin Neutralizing Solution, and FrostaLife Cryopreservation were purchased from Lifeline Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT), and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China. The paclitaxel storage solution was obtained from Nanjing KeyGEN Biotech. Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China. Scientific grade natural lyophilizing hirudin powder (500 AT-U/g) was purchased from Wuhan Shengtianyu Biological Science and Technology Co., Ltd., China.

HCASMC and HCAEC cultures

Frozen aliquots of cells were obtained from liquid nitrogen storage and immediately thawed at 37 °C. The cells were injected into a 25-cm³ culture bottle and cultivated in an incubator containing 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. The medium was replaced every two days. The cells were passaged every 3 to 4 days. The cells were used in the following experiments at passage 4 or 5.

**Determination of the optimal concentration range of hirudin**
Grouping

The cells were divided into a zero-adjustment group (one well without cells), a control group (cells were cultured normally without stimulation in five wells), and the drug intervention groups at different doses (0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.39, 0.78, 1.56, 3.13, and 6.25 mg/mL) (24). Six replicates were performed for each experimental group.

Cell inoculation

HCASMCs and HCAECs at 70%-80% confluency were digested into single-cell suspensions, and the cell density was adjusted to 8×10⁴/mL. The cells were subsequently seeded onto 96-well plates. Cell suspensions (100 μL) were added to each well, except that only culture medium was placed in the zero-adjustment well. The medium was replaced daily. Subsequently, 0.05 mL of the cell suspension was mixed with 0.05 mL of trypan blue for staining. The cell viability by this method was 95%.

Drug stimulation

The cells were washed twice with PBS. Then, 100 μL of basic culture medium was added to the zero-adjustment well and control group wells, and 100 μL of hirudin at different concentrations was added to the drug intervention groups. The cells were cultivated in an incubator containing 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ at 37 °C for 48 h.

Evaluation of cell viability

The MTT method was used to assess the cell viability according to the literature (25). After co-incubation for 48 h, 20 μL of MTT solution was added to each well. Subsequently, the supernatant was discarded, and 150 μL DMSO was added. The cells were gently oscillated for 10 min. Cell viability was read at 492 nm using an enzyme-labeled instrument. The inhibition rate of each group was calculated based on the following formula:

\[ \text{Inhibition rate (IR)} = (1- \frac{A_{492 \text{ nm of experimental group}}}{A_{492 \text{ nm of control group}}}) \times 100\% \]

Based on the inhibition rates of the groups, an optimal concentration range of hirudin was
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determined.

**Determination of the inhibitory effects of different ratios of the complexes**

*Grouping*

To prepare the paclitaxel+hirudin complexes, 1 μmol/L paclitaxel (26) was added to various hirudin solutions within the optimal concentration range. The cells were co-incubated with different paclitaxel+hirudin complexes in 96-well culture plates, and six replicates were arranged in a separate well for each dose group. The first 5 wells contained culture medium as the control group, and the remaining well was the zero-adjustment group. The second 6 wells contained paclitaxel only and are identified as the paclitaxel only group.

*Cell inoculation and drug stimulation*

Cell inoculation was performed according to the method described above. The cells were washed twice with PBS every day, and 100 μL of basic culture medium was added to the zero-adjustment well and control group wells. Then, 100 μL of paclitaxel (1 μmol/L) was added to the paclitaxel only group. First, 50 μL of paclitaxel (2 μmol/L) was added to the drug intervention groups with different doses. Then, 50 μL of hirudin with the double dose was added. The cells were then cultivated in an incubator containing 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ at 37 °C for 48 h.

*Evaluation of cell viability*

The change in cell growth activity was detected using the MTT colorimetric method to observe the state of normally cultured HCASMCs and HCAECs that were treated with paclitaxel+hirudin complexes at different concentrations. The appropriate ratio of paclitaxel to hirudin to maximize the inhibition of HCASMCs while minimizing the inhibition of HCAECs was then determined.

*Statistical analyses*

The data are expressed as the means ± SEM. The statistical evaluation was performed using
SPSS17.0 software. The statistical comparisons were performed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Dunn’s method was used to discriminate the differences among different groups. \( P<0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Cell culture in vitro

Under the inverted microscope, newly recovered HCASMCs and HCAECs were small, round and floating in the medium in a non-adherent state. Most of the cells were separated from each other or agglomerated. After a 6-h cultivation, the majority of the cells gradually attached to the bottom; all of the cells were completely attached to the bottom after 24 h. The shape of the attached HCASMCs gradually changed into a spindle, with good stretching and diaphaneity. In 3 days, the cells entered the logarithmic growth phase, with a dense bundle arrangement and overlapping (Figures 1 A and B). The attached HCAECs were transformed into a confluent single layer and then entered the logarithmic growth phase in 4 days. The cell body was plump and transparent with a rhombic or polygonal shape, in a “slabstone” arrangement (Figures 1 C and D).

Optimal concentration range of hirudin

On the growth of HCASMCs

Under the inverted microscope, newly inoculated HCASMC were small, round, and floating in the medium. In 2 h, the shape of the attached cells gradually changed into a spindle shape. In 48 h, the cells entered the logarithmic growth phase with a dense bundle arrangement and overlapped each other. There was no obvious change in cell morphology or quantity after
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48-h stimulation with a low dose of hirudin (0.025-0.1 mg/mL). After a 24-h stimulation with medium and high doses of hirudin (0.39-6.25 mg/mL), the cell morphology was slightly different. After 48 h, the quantity of cells was reduced, and the arrangement became loose.

At 48 h after stimulation, compared to the control group, low-dose hirudin (0.025-0.1 mg/mL) did not noticeably inhibit the growth of HCASMCs ($P>0.05$). The medium and high doses of hirudin (0.2-6.25 mg/mL) obviously inhibited the growth of HCASMCs ($P<0.05$), and the inhibitory rate increased with the increase in the hirudin concentration (Table 1, Figure 2).

On the growth of HCAECs

Under the inverted microscope, newly inoculated HCAECs were round and floating in the medium. Most of the cells were separated from each other or agglomerated. At 2 h, the majority of cells gradually attached to the bottom, and all of the cells were completely attached to the bottom at 24 h after stimulation. The “slabstone” arrangement appeared within 48 h. There were no obvious changes in cell morphology or quantity. The cells formed a confluent single layer after 48-h stimulation with low and medium doses of hirudin (0.025-3.13 mg/mL). After 48-h stimulation with a high dose of hirudin (6.25 mg/mL), the quantity of cells was reduced, and partially attached cells dropped from the well walls.

At 48 h after stimulation, compared to the control group, the 0.025-3.13 mg/mL hirudin treatments did not noticeably inhibit the growth of HCAECs ($P>0.05$). Hirudin at 6.25 mg/mL obviously inhibited the growth of HCAECs ($P<0.05$), and 0.05-0.2 mg/mL of hirudin increased the growth of HCAECs (Table 2, Figure 2). Based on these results, we chose the low dose of hirudin (0.2-3.13 mg/mL) as the optimal concentration range that could inhibit the growth of HCASMCs and increase the growth of HCAECs.

Inhibitory effects of the complexes

On HCASMCs

Under the inverted microscope, HCASMCs in the logarithmic growth stage overlapped and
were in a dense bundle arrangement. After drug stimulation for 48 h, both paclitaxel only and various doses of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes decreased the number of cells and loosened the cell arrangement. The degree of change was positively associated with the hirudin concentration.

Compared to the control group, paclitaxel only and various doses of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes noticeably inhibited the growth of HCASMCs ($P<0.05$). Compared with paclitaxel only, various doses of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes increased the growth inhibition of HCASMCs ($P<0.05$). The complexes had higher inhibition rates than paclitaxel only ($P<0.05$), but the inhibitory rate did not increase with the increase in the hirudin concentration (Table 3, Figure 3).

**On HCAECs**

Under the inverted microscope, HCAECs in the logarithmic growth were arranged in a “slabstone” pattern. After drug stimulation for 48 h, both paclitaxel only and various doses of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes reduced the quantity of cells and loosened the cell arrangement. Partial cells were observed floating in the medium and could not be attached to the bottoms of wells.

Compared to the control group, paclitaxel only and various doses of the paclitaxel+hirudin complexes noticeably inhibited the growth of HCASMCs ($P<0.05$). Compared with paclitaxel only, paclitaxel+0.39 mg/mL hirudin and paclitaxel+0.78 mg/mL hirudin significantly decreased the growth inhibition HCAECs ($P<0.05$), but the inhibitory rate did not increase with the increase in the concentration of the complexes (Table 4, Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**
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The restenosis rate of 10%-20% after DES treatment of ASCVD remains a challenge for clinicians (3). Proliferation and migration of VSMCs and delayed endothelialization are believed to be the main pathological causes of in-stent restenosis (27). The results of this study revealed that paclitaxel hirudin complexes had a higher inhibition rate than the paclitaxel only treatment, suggesting that natural herbs have the capacity to enhance pharmacological effects. Moreover, the appropriate ratio of the paclitaxel+hirudin complex (1 μmol/L paclitaxel+0.39 mg/mL hirudin) could decrease the growth inhibition of HCAECs and maximize the inhibition of HCASMCs, suggesting that natural herbs have the capacity to reduce the poison effects. Thus, the compatibility of paclitaxel and hirudin could effectively reduce the negative effects caused by the single drug. This study provides experimental data for the prevention of restenosis after DES treatment and proposes a new idea for the research and development of drug-eluting stents.

DES intervenes in the pathological process of restenosis to target lesions locally, and its good effects have been shown in many animal models and clinical studies (28, 29). Pharmacological inhibitors of neointimal hyperplasia, such as paclitaxel, are commercially available agents. Paclitaxel is a derivatized diterpenoid that exerts an antineoplastic effect by interfering with cell microtubule function. Paclitaxel alters the dynamic equilibrium among microtubules and α- and β-tubulin by favoring the formation of abnormally stable microtubules, which leads to the inhibition of cell division and migration, intracellular signaling, and protein secretion, which rely on the rapid and efficient depolymerization of microtubules (30-32). However, microtubules, the major components of cytoskeleton proteins, also usually are found in HCAECs. Although the paclitaxel stent can inhibit the migration and proliferation of VSMCs and can contribute to neointimal hyperplasia, it can also delay the re-endothelialization of the intima, with the potential risk of late thrombosis (33-36). The results of the aspirin-induced platelet effect test on paclitaxel-eluting stents showed that the
occurrence of sub-acute thrombosis in the high-dose paclitaxel group (3.1 μg/m²) was 2%, which was higher than that in the low-dose group (1.3 μg/m²) (37). Therefore, it is important to select the best dose and proportion of paclitaxel for SMCs and epithelial cells. However, the combination application of paclitaxel and other anti-proliferation drugs, especially natural herbs, in preparing drug-coated stents has not been reported. In this study, 1 μmol/L paclitaxel was added to the optimal concentration range of hirudin to prepare different ratios of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes. We investigated the inhibitory effects of different ratios of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes on the growth of HCASMCs and HCAECs cultivated in vitro, highlighted the efficiency of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes as a new therapeutic strategy and identified 1 μmol/L paclitaxel plus 0.39 mg/mL hirudin as our final ratio of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes for the follow-up experiment.

Our study indicated that paclitaxel continuously inhibited SMC proliferation during the observation period and that the intact endothelium was essential in the prevention of SMC proliferation. At the same time, we successfully combined paclitaxel with hirudin to amplify the advantages of hirudin and achieved our efficiency-enhancing purpose. These results implied that we should not consider the “anti-tumor” approach only in restenosis prevention; optimal revascularization results would be achieved if the endothelial regeneration were simultaneously accelerated.

This study has some limitations. First, it was an in vitro study; additional studies in vivo are required. Second, the targets of the specific mechanism of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes are unclear and require further clarification.

The optimal composition of the paclitaxel+hirudin complex is 1 μmol/L paclitaxel plus 0.39 mg/mL hirudin, which can maximize HCASMC inhibition and minimize HCAEC inhibition. This preliminary study confirmed that reasonable compatibility of natural herbs can effectively reduce the negative effects caused by the single drug. By combining the
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advantages of natural herbs (i.e., multiple targets and wide efficacy) with advanced modern technologies, we demonstrated the efficacy and feasibility of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes. Meanwhile, the results of this study may lead the research and development of natural herb-eluting stents in new directions.
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Table 1: Inhibitory effects of hirudin on the growth and proliferation of HCASMCs 48 h after stimulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group no.</th>
<th>Hirudin concentration (mg/mL)</th>
<th>A$_{492}$ nm (X ± S)</th>
<th>Inhibition rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.605±0.080</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.552±0.025</td>
<td>8.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.539±0.018</td>
<td>10.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.551±0.061</td>
<td>8.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.516±0.069</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.471±0.101*</td>
<td>22.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.416±0.044*</td>
<td>31.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.332±0.049*</td>
<td>45.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.271±0.056*</td>
<td>55.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>0.134±0.049*</td>
<td>77.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 vs. the control.

Table 2: Inhibitory effects of hirudin on the growth and proliferation of HCAECs 48 h after stimulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group no.</th>
<th>Hirudin concentration (mg/mL)</th>
<th>A$_{492}$ nm (X ± S)</th>
<th>Inhibition rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.280±0.036</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.262±0.067</td>
<td>6.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.291±0.067</td>
<td>-3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.290±0.085</td>
<td>-3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.285±0.049</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.273±0.062</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.259±0.046</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.223±0.055</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.222±0.044</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>0.155±0.050*</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 vs. the control.
Table 3: Inhibitory effects of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes on HCASMCs (48 h)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group no.</th>
<th>Paclitaxel+different doses of hirudin (mg/mL)</th>
<th>A&lt;sub&gt;492&lt;/sub&gt; nm (X ± S)</th>
<th>Inhibition rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.769±0.078&lt;sup&gt;##&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Paclitaxel only</td>
<td>0.498±0.026&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.377±0.048&lt;sup&gt;##&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>50.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.394±0.056&lt;sup&gt;##&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>48.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.401±0.034&lt;sup&gt;##&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>47.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.333±0.154&lt;sup&gt;##&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>56.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.349±0.049&lt;sup&gt;##&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>54.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 vs. the control; <sup>##</sup>P<0.05 vs. paclitaxel only.

Table 4: Inhibitory effects of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes on HCAECs (48 h)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group no.</th>
<th>Paclitaxel+different doses of hirudin (mg/mL)</th>
<th>A value (X ± S)</th>
<th>Inhibition rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Blank)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.267±0.014&lt;sup&gt;##&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Paclitaxel only</td>
<td>0.181±0.010&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.180±0.021&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.191±0.008&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.184±0.021&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.178±0.020&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.177±0.013&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 vs. the control; <sup>##</sup>P<0.05 vs. paclitaxel only.
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Fig. 1: Cell growth at 3 d under an inverted microscope. A, HCASMCs after 3-d cultivation (40 ×). B, HCASMCs after 3-d cultivation (100 ×). C, HCAECs after 3-d cultivation (40 ×). D, HCAECs after 3-d cultivation (100 ×).

Fig. 2. The effects of different concentrations of hirudin on the growth of HCASMCs and HCAECs in vitro. Compared to the control group, hirudin obviously inhibited the growth of HCASMCs, *P<0.05. Compared to the control group, hirudin obviously inhibited the growth of HCAECs, #P<0.05.
Fig. 3: Effects of paclitaxel+hirudin complexes on the growth of HCASMCs and HCAECs. *, #P<0.05 vs. the control.