

## **The Anthropometric Measurements and Percentile Curves of Children Aged 0-5 Years in Eastern Turkey**

E Sal<sup>1</sup>, M Dogan<sup>2</sup>, Y Cesur<sup>2</sup>, S Ariyuca<sup>2</sup>, M Başaranoğlu<sup>1</sup>, A Kaya<sup>1</sup>, M Mazicioğlu<sup>3</sup>

### **ABSTRACT**

**Aim:** To determine child's growth reference value in our province and to compare these values with current values of the United States of America and other local values of our country.

**Methods:** Totally 615 boys and 586 girls with having different socio-economic levels and with the age of 0-5 years were included the study. In all children, weight, height, head circumference, abdominal circumference, hip circumference, mid-arm circumference and lower segment length were taken. All measurements were performed by the same pediatrician. LMS method was used for the preparation of percentile curves.

**Results:** The body weight, head circumference and chest circumference measurements of boys were significantly higher than those in girls. Anthropometric measurements of children in high-income groups, was higher than that in low-income group. All of the anthropometric parameters except upper mid-arm circumference were found to be higher in children who fed with breast milk and formula milk than children who only fed with breast milk. The relation with mother's education level and anthropometric parameters was insignificant.

**Conclusion:** Our results showed that the anthropometric measurements of our children were similar with those of west Turkey as well as those of the United States. On the other hand, our children's anthropometric measurements were found to be higher than other local values. Relatively high values of height have been interpreted as a genetic feature.

**Keywords:** Anthropometric measurements, children, percentile curves

---

From: <sup>1</sup>YYU School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Van, TURKEY, <sup>2</sup>YU School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, Van, TURKEY, <sup>3</sup>Professor, Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Family Medicine, Kayseri, Turkey.

Correspondence: Dr E Sal, Department of Pediatrics, YYU School of Medicine, Van, Turkey.  
E-mail: aertansal@hotmail.com

## **INTRODUCTION**

Anthropometric data for children encompasses a variety of human body measurements, for example weight, height and size, including skinfold thicknesses and lengths. Anthropometry is a key component of nutritional status assessment in children and adults (1). In children, anthropometric measurement which are used to growth monitorization and determination of insufficient and unbalanced nutrition make enable to early identification and prevention of a variety diseases (2). Our aim is to determine children growth reference value in our province and to compare these values with current values of the United States of America and other local values of our country.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This cross sectional study was conducted in children with different socio-economic status from kindergarten and crèche and in healthy children who were admitted to health center for vaccination after obtaining written permission from the health director and families. A total of 1201 children (586 girls and 615 boys) aged 0-5 years and had no known chronic disease was enrolled the study. The anthropometric measurements of all children enrolled the study were performed by the same pediatric endocrinologist. Body weight, height, head circumference, upper mid-arm circumference, chest circumference, abdominal circumference, hip circumference and lower segment length were measured and recorded. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by square height (m<sup>2</sup>). Chronological age of children was calculated and recorded as decimal years. Additionally, family income, duration of breast feeding, onset time of taking additional food were also recorded. The percentile curves of all children were constructed by using LMS Chart Maker Pro version 2.3 software program (The Institute of Child Health, London). The effect of gender difference,

additional food intake on anthropometric measurements were analyzed using Chi-square and one way ANOVA test. The results were expressed as mean $\pm$ SD when applicable. A P value of <0.05 was considered significant.

## **RESULTS**

The present study included 586 (48.8 %) girls and 615 (51.2 %) boys. The mean ages of children were  $29.72 \pm 22.32$  months in boys and  $30.03 \pm 21.94$  in girls. No statistically significant difference was found with regard to age and gender ( $p < 0.05$ ). The distribution of anthropometric measurements of children according to gender was showed in Table 1. After the comparison of anthropometric measurements in terms of gender, it was found that boys had higher body weight, head and chest circumference than girls. When this comparison was made by taking into consideration of family income, it was observed that the anthropometric measurements of children who had high family income were higher than those who had low family income. The statistically difference was also found in comparison of children who were given breast feed plus formula with children who were given only breast feed. The relation with mother's education level and anthropometric parameters was insignificant. Comparison of our data with other studies in Turkey and other countries are shown in Table 2.

## **DISCUSSION**

Anthropometric assessment continues to be one of the most-used methods for evaluating and monitoring health status, nutritional state and growth in children, not only individuals but also communities (3). Growth and development retardation secondary to malnutrition and vitamin and mineral deficiencies in the pediatric population have been demonstrated by several studies in Turkey (4). Body weight by age and height by age are the most frequently used

measurements in assessing growth (5). Growth curves are the most important tools for the assessment of children's growth line, which could further help to develop preventive interventions (6). The most prevalent growth curves are the NCHS/CDC curves (7, 8), which are acknowledged by the WHO as international standards, and curves that were developed by Neyzi et al. (7) in Turkey. The ranges recommended by the WHO as "international growth standards" were derived from the measurements in children in the USA (9, 10). A comprehensive multi-center study including other regions has not been performed in Turkey (11). Yet, it has been reported that anthropometric measurement varied by time in developing countries and that the corresponding curves should be updated based on new data collected on a regular basis (12, 13). Although the standards recommended by the WHO are assumed to be valid during the first years of life for almost all countries, inter-cultural variations may be noted during these early years (14-16). The present study attempted to follow the criteria described by Waterlow et al and acknowledged by the WHO in obtaining anthropometric reference values in childhood. These criteria may be summarized as (a) sufficient number of measurements for each age group, (b) good selection of subject's representative of the general population, (c) reliable measurement methods, and (d) utilization of valid statistical methods for data analysis (10, 17, 18). The present study intended to interpret the results by comparing them with WHO data (19) and data from the US children (20, 21), and the data reported from the studies by Neyzi et al. in Istanbul (8, 15) and other local studies that were performed in Turkey (Table 2).

The median body weight by age of the male children included in the present study was above the values described by the WHO (19) in all ages except for the age of 5. Compared to US children (20, 21), median body weight by age was higher in male children aged 2, 3 and 4 in the present study. Median body weight by age in female children of the present study was higher across the first 4 ages compared to the female children in Istanbul studies. Compared

to WHO data and US children, median body weight by age of children in this study was higher across the first 3 years of age. The comparison of height by age with the values reported from previous studies (7, 8, 11, 19-22) demonstrated higher values for all ages in both the male and female children, excluding the male children aged three, in the present study. Relatively high values of height have been interpreted as a genetic feature.

Head circumference of the children in the present study was lower at the first three ages compared to the children in Istanbul study (7, 8) and was higher compared to the US children (20, 21). This result, which is in accordance with those reported from other studies in Turkey, might be a genetic feature specific to our country, as has been discussed by Neyzi et al (8).

The comparison of BMI measurements of the children in the present study and in the study by Neyzi et al. (8) revealed lower BMI values for both the male and female children of the present study. The comparison of the male children in the present study and BMI criteria of the WHO (19) demonstrated higher values for ages 3, 4 and 5 but lower values for ages 1 and 2. In the female children of the present study, BMI values were in accordance with the WHO data at ages 3 and 4 but was lower than the WHO values for the remaining ages.

Upper arm circumference measurements of the children of the present study were higher in males for the first 3 ages in line with the literature (23), while it was higher in the female children of the present study on the contrary to the literature.

Upper segment-lower segment ratios of the female children below the age of three and of the male children of ages 3 and 5 of the present study were higher compared to the values reported for the subjects in the study by Bereket et al (24).

Compared to the reference ranges proposed by Feingold (25), the median chest circumference values were higher for all ages in the children of the present study in the 0.9–1.6 cm range.

The comparison of the children in the present study with those in Istanbul study (26) in terms of abdominal circumference demonstrated higher values for children up to the age of 5 in both genders and lower values for all other ages.

Previous studies have demonstrated a close association of children's nutritional status and therefore growth and development with their families' socioeconomic status (27, 28). In a study by Garipoğlu and Günöz (29) in Istanbul, better height measurement relative to percentile curves were noted for children of families with better socioeconomic status. A study by Martorell et al. (30) reported positive impact of high socioeconomic level to growth and development. In the present study, the comparison by income status demonstrated significantly higher anthropometric measurements for children of families with higher incomes compared to those in the lower income group, consistent with the literature. The analysis of relationship between the anthropometric measurements of children and their nutritional patterns showed that the anthropometric measurements excluding mid-arm circumference were significantly higher in infants younger than 6 months receiving formulated milk with breast milk compared to those fed with breast milk only. Besides, all anthropometric measurements of children above 6 months receiving formulated milk in addition to normal feeding were significantly higher than those receiving normal nutrition. This positive impact on anthropometric measurements was attributed to the fact that the children being fed with formulated milk were from families with high educational and socioeconomic levels.

In conclusion, our results showed that the anthropometric measurements of our children were similar with those of west Turkey as well as those of the United States. On the other hand, our children's anthropometric measurements were found to be higher than other local values. Relatively high values of height have been interpreted as a genetic feature.

**Abbreviations:** Body mass index (BMI), body weight (kg), height (m<sup>2</sup>)

**Funding source:** No funding

**Financial Disclosure Statement:** The authors have no financial disclosures relevant to this article.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article to disclose.

**Clinical Trial registry name and registration number:** None

**Contributors' Statement Page:**

**Ertan SAL:** manuscript preparation, data acquisition, literature research, approved the final manuscript as submitted.

**Murat DOGAN:** study concepts, manuscript preparation, literature research, manuscript editing, approved the final manuscript as submitted.

**Yasar CESUR:** study concepts, manuscript editing, approved the final manuscript as submitted

**Sevil ARIYUCA:** study concepts, manuscript editing, approved the final manuscript as submitted

**Murat BAŞARANOĞLU:** manuscript preparation, data acquisition, literature research, approved the final manuscript as submitted

**Avni KAYA:** manuscript editing, literature research, approved the final manuscript as submitted.

**Mumtaz MAZICIOĞLU:** Statistical analysis, manuscript editing, approved the final manuscript as submitted.

All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work

## REFERENCES

1. Simko MD, Cowell C and Gilbride JA. Nutrition Assessment: A Comprehensive Guide for Planning Intervention. 2nd ed. Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publishers. 1995
2. Haran O. Çocuklarda Beslenme ve Büyümenin Değerlendirilmesi Açısından Antropometrik Ölçümlerin Anlamı ve Yorumu. Beslenme ve Diyet Dergisi 1990; **19**: 237–43.
3. Dietary intake and anthropometric reference values in population studies. Arija V, Pérez Rodrigo C, Martínez de Vitoria E, Ortega RM, Serra-Majem L, Ribas L et al. Nutr Hosp. 2015; **31**: 157–67.
4. Garipağaoğlu M, Günöz H. 3–6 yaş arası İstanbul'da yaşayan çocuklarda beslenme özellikleri ve büyüme-gelişmeye etkisi. Beslenme ve Diyet Dergisi 1993; **22**: 161–70.
5. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare. NCHS growth curves for children, birth-18 years. Washington: DHEW Publication, 1977: 78–165.
6. Patel R, Unisa S. Construction of national standards of growth curves of height and weight for children using cross-sectional data. Indian J Public Health. 2014; **58**: 92–9.
7. Neyzi O, Binyıldız P, Alp H. Türk çocuklarında büyüme gelişme normları 1. İstanbul Tıp Fak Mecm 1978; **41**: 3–22.
8. Neyzi O, Günöz H, Furman A, Bundak R, Gökçay Feyza Darendeliler F, et al. Türk çocuklarında vücut ağırlığı, boy uzunluğu, baş çevresi ve vücut kitle indeksi referans değerleri. Çocuk Sağlığı ve Hastalıkları Dergisi 2008; **51**: 1–14.
9. Dibley MJ, Staehling N, Nieburg P, Trowbridge FL. Interpretation of Z-score anthropometric indicators derived from the international growth reference. Am J Clin Nutr 1987; **46**: 749–62.



10. World Health Organization. A Growth Chart for International Use in Maternal and Child Health Care: Guidelines for Primary Health Care. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1978.
11. Ayçiçek A. Şanlıurfa ilindeki 0–8 yaş çocuklar için boy ve ağırlık referans değerleri. Çocuk Sağlığı ve Hastalıkları Dergisi 2005; **48**: 234–38.
12. Arbuckle TE, Wilkins R, Sherman GJ. Birth weight percentiles by gestational age in Canada. Obstet Gynecol 1993; **81**: 39–48.
13. Black MM, Krishnakumar A. Predicting longitudinal growth curves of height and weight using ecological factors for children with and without early growth deficiency. J Nutr 1999; **129**: 539–43.
14. Fredriks AM, Van Buuren S, Jeurissen SE, Dekker FW, Verloove-Vanhorick SP, Wit JM. Height, weight, body mass index and pubertal development reference values for children of Moroccan origin in the Netherlands. Acta Paediatr 2004; **93**: 817–24.
15. Tate AR, Dezateux C, Cole TJ. Millenium Cohort Study Child Health Group. Is infant growth changing? Int J Obes 2006; **30**: 1094–96.
16. Gökçay G, Furman A, Neyzi O. Updated growth curves for Turkish children aged 15 days to 60 months. Child Care Health Dev 2008; **34**(4): 454–63.
17. World Health Organization. Physical status: The use and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. Technical Report Series No. 854. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1995.
18. Waterlow JC, Buzina R, Keller W, Lane JM, Nichaman MZ, Tanner JM. The presentation and use of the height and weight data for comparing the nutritional status of groups of children under the age of 10 years. Bull World Health Organ 1977; **55**(4): 489–98.

19. WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. WHO Child Growth Standards based on length/height, weight and age. *Acta Paediatr* 2006; **450**: 76–85.
20. Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Guo S, Wei R. CDC growth charts: United States. *Adv Data* 2000; **314**: 1–27.
21. Ogden CJ, Kuczmarski RJ, Flegal KM Mei Z, Guo S, Wei R et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000 growth charts for the United States: improvements to the 1977 National Center for Health Statistics version. *Pediatrics* 2002; **109**(1): 45–60
22. Gómez-Campos R, Andruske CL, Hespanhol J, Torres JS, Arruda M, Luarte-Rocha C et al. Waist Circumferences of Chilean Students: Comparison of the CDC-2012 Standard and Proposed Percentile Curves. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2015;**12**(7):7712-24
23. Wolanski, N. Arm circumference 1-60 months. Sexes separate. p. 228 (1964). In: *Assessment of Nutritional Status of Community*. D.B. Jelliffe. WHO, Geneva.
24. Turan S, Bereket A, Omar A, Berber M, Ozen A, Bekiroglu N. Upper segment/lower segment ratio and armspan-height difference in healthy Turkish children. *Acta Paediatr*. 2005; **94**: 407–13.
25. Feingold M, Bossert WH. Normal values for selected physical parameters: An aid to delineation. *Birth defects Orig Artic Ser* 1974; **10**: 1–16.
26. Karlberg J, Engstrom I, Karlberg P, Fryer JG Analysis of linear growth using a mathematical model. 1. From birth to three years. *Acta Paediatr Scand* 1987; **76**: 478–88.
27. Hatun Ş, Etiler N, Gönüllü E. Yoksulluk ve çocuklar üzerine etkileri. *Çocuk Sağlığı ve Hastalıkları Dergisi* 2003; **46**: 251–60.

28. Akurt F, Wetherilt H. Trk okul ađı ocuklarının byme-geliřme durumlarının Amerikan normlarına gre deđerlendirilmesi. *Beslenme ve Diyet Dergisi* 1991; **20**: 21–34.
29. Nebigil I, Hizel S, Tanyer G, Dallar Y, Cořkun T. Heights and weights of primary school children of different social background in Ankara, Turkey. *J Trop Pediatr.* 1997; **43**: 297–303.
30. Martorell R, Malinar M, Castillo RO, Mendoza FS, Pawson IG. Body Proportions in Three Ethnic Groups. Children and Youths 2–17 years in NHANES and NHANESI. *Hum Biol* 1988; **60**: 205–22.

Table 1: The comparison of anthropometric measurements of children according to gender

	<b>Gender</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>	<b>p</b>
<b>Body weight</b>	Boys	598	12,09	5,53	<b>0.04</b>
	Girls	558	11,49	5,00	
<b>Height</b>	Boys	598	85,13	19,22	<b>&gt;0.05</b>
	Girls	558	84,36	18,73	
<b>Head circumference</b>	Boys	598	46,94	4,61	<b>0.002</b>
	Girls	558	46,08	4,59	
<b>Upper mid-arm circumference</b>	Boys	598	15,34	2,51	<b>&gt;0.05</b>
	Girls	556	15,26	2,40	
<b>Abdomen circumference</b>	Boys	598	45,40	7,26	<b>&gt;0.05</b>
	Girls	558	45,04	6,93	
<b>Hip circumference</b>	Boys	598	48,46	8,70	<b>&gt;0.05</b>
	Girls	558	48,41	8,68	
<b>Chest circumference</b>	Boys	598	49,13	6,82	<b>0.006</b>
	Girls	558	48,06	6,19	
<b>Lower segment length</b>	Boys	598	38,77	11,60	<b>&gt;0.05</b>
	Girls	558	38,86	11,17	

SD: standard deviation

Table 2: Comparison of anthropometric measurements of children our study and other studies

Anthropometric Measurement	Male							Age	Female						
	Our Study	Neyzi <sup>7</sup> (1978)	Neyzi <sup>8</sup> (2008)	Şehla <sup>22</sup> (2006)	Ayçiçek <sup>11</sup> (2005)	USA <sup>20</sup> (2000)	WHO <sup>19</sup> (2006)		Our Study	Neyzi <sup>7</sup> (1978)	Neyzi <sup>8</sup> (2008)	Şehla <sup>22</sup> (2006)	Ayçiçek <sup>11</sup> (2005)	USA <sup>20</sup> (2000)	WHO <sup>19</sup> (2006)
Body weight (kg)	6,7	3,4	3,43	-	3,4	3,4	3,3	0	6,3	3,4	3,29	-	3,2	3,4	3,2
Height (cm)	64,9	50,6	50	-	50	50	49,9		63,3	50,2	49,4	-	50	49	49,1
Head circumference (cm)	43,1	35,3	34,9	-	-	35,8	-		42	34,7	34,5	-	-	34,7	-
Body weight (kg)	10,2	10	10,1	10	9,2	10,3	9,6	1	9,6	9,6	9,3	9	8,1	9,5	8,9
Height (cm)	78,6	74,7	76,9	77	74	75,5	75,7		77	73	75,1	75	73	73,8	74
Head circumference (cm)	46,8	47,3	47,1	47	-	46,3	-		45,5	45,8	45,8	45,5	-	45	-
Body weight (kg)	12,7	12,1	12,6	12	10,5	12,6	12,2	2	12,1	12,2	11,9	12	10	12,1	11,5
Height (cm)	88,5	84	88,2	86	81	85,5	87,1		87,2	85,5	86,8	84,7	80	85,3	85,7
Head circumference (cm)	48,8	49,7	49,3	48	-	48,6	-		47,7	48,1	48	47	-	47,5	-
Body weight (kg)	14,7	14,6	14,8	15,5	12,6	14,3	14,3	3	14,2	14	14,1	14	12	13,9	13,9
Height (cm)	96,5	95,3	96,8	96,7	89	95,8	96,1		95,5	95	95,4	95	88	94,7	95,1
Head circumference (cm)	49,9	50,4	50	50	-	49,5	-		48,9	49,3	48,7	49	-	48,4	-
Body weight (kg)	16,5	16,7	16,8	17	15	16,3	16,3	4	16	16	16,1	15	14	16	16,1
Height (cm)	104,4	102,5	104	103,5	95	102,8	103,3		103	102	102,5	101	95	101	102,7
Head circumference (cm)	50,5	-	-	50	-	-	-		49,7	-	-	49	-	-	-
Body weight (kg)	18	18,7	18,6	18	16,2	18,3	18,3	5	17,6	18,2	18,4	17,5	15	18,1	18,2
Height (cm)	111,1	109,5	110,4	109	102,5	109	110		110,8	108	109,1	108,2	104	107,4	109,4
Head circumference (cm)	50,9	-	-	50,5	-	-	-		50,3	-	-	49,5	-	-	-