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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to evaluate the prevalence of Barrett’s oesophagus at the University Hospital of the West Indies which serves a population predominantly of African descent. In order to maximize accession of all cases in this preliminary study, the broad definition of columnar metaplasia of the lower oesophagus was utilized. Nevertheless, of 18 cases identified in the 21-year period, 14/17 cases (82%) available for review had specialized columnar epithelium diagnostic of Barrett’s oesophagus. There was male predominance 5:1, the mean age was 53.8 years and there were two associated adenocarcinomas. These data confirm the occurrence but low prevalence of Barrett’s oesophagus in this population but with a disease pattern that is consistent with that reported in other populations, warranting systematic evaluation of the gastro-oesophageal junction even in a Caribbean population.
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RESUMEN

El objetivo de este estudio es evaluar la prevalencia del esófago de Barrett en el Hospital Universitario de West Indies – institución que presta servicio a una población predominantemente de descendencia africana. Con el objeto de maximizar el acceso de todos los casos a este estudio preliminar, se utilizó la definición amplia de metaplasia columnar del esófago inferior. Sin embargo, de 18 casos identificados en un período de 21 años, 14/17 casos (82%) disponibles para examen presentaban diagnóstico de esófago de Barrett. Hubo predominio masculino 5:1, la edad media fue de 53.8 años, y hubo dos adenocarcinomas asociados. Estos datos confirman la incidencia, pero al tiempo que la baja prevalencia del esófago de Barrett en esta población, aunque con un patrón de enfermedad consistente con el que se reporta para otras poblaciones, justificando la evaluación sistemática de la unión gastroesofágica incluso en una población caribeña.

INDRODUCTION

Since its description in the early 1900s (1), the origin, significance and histologic characterization of Barrett’s oesophagus have been controversial and problematic. Norman Barrett having first described it in association with peptic ulceration and oesophagitis (2), later mistakenly proposed that the abnormality resulted from “failure of the embryonic lining of the gullet to achieve normal maturity” (3). This columnar lining of the distal oesophagus is now recognized as a metaplastic change acquired with increasing age in some patients in response to gastric acid reflux (GERD) and possibly duodenal reflux (1, 4, 5). The significance and importance of this metaplastic change lies firstly in the potential development of adenocarcinoma through progressive dysplasia (6) and secondly in the escalating incidence rates of adenocarcinoma of the lower oesophagus, which outstrips that of any other carcinoma (7).

What has remained uncontroversial is the observation that Barrett’s oesophagus occurs primarily in men and is uncommon in Africa and persons of African descent in North America (8) and Africa (9) resulting in an equally low prevalence of adenocarcinoma of the lower oesophagus in this population in whom oesophageal carcinoma, despite recent increase in incidence (10) remains predominantly of squamous cell origin. While the same situation may be expected for persons of African descent in the Caribbean, no data are available to support this conclusion. Nevertheless, cancer incidence rates for the oesophagus reported from the Jamaica Cancer Registry are low, representing 2.3/100 000 in men and 1.4/100 000 in women (11) and have declined over the
last 30 years (12). Reports from other Caribbean territories are also low (13). These data, however, do not separate the carcinomas into histological subtypes. In order to assess the prevalence of Barrett’s oesophagus in this population, the files of the Department of Pathology at the University of the West Indies (UWI) were retrospectively reviewed for all possible cases of Barrett’s oesophagus at the institution. This paper is the first documentation of Barrett’s oesophagus in this population and discusses some of the possible explanations for the racial differences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The University Hospital of the West Indies (UHWI) is a tertiary referral centre that serves a population predominantly of African descent. The files of the Department of Pathology were retrospectively reviewed for all possible cases of Barrett’s Oesophagus. As there is no specific code in the systematized nomenclature of pathology (SNOP) for Barrett’s metaplasia SNOP codes 7500 – metaplasia, 7530 – glandular metaplasia, 7532 – intestinal metaplasia and 7600 – dysplasia were searched. Cases with dysplasia related to squamous epithelium were excluded. Cases included both biopsy and resected specimens. Where available, original or re-cut histological slides stained with H/E and Alcian blue/periodic acid Schiff (AB/PAS) were reviewed. The broad definition of Barrett’s oesophagus as replacement of the squamous epithelium by columnar epithelium resembling that in the stomach and intestine was used (4) and was sub-classified into specialized columnar, with goblet cells, junctional, resembling gastric cardia, and fundic, resembling atrophic gastric fundic mucosa (14). AB/PAS stain was performed on cases with junctional type mucosa only when vacuolated cells mimicked goblet cells (15). Presence and degree of dysplasia, presence and degree of accompanying inflammation and presence of adenocarcinoma were noted. A three-tiered modification of the grading system of dysplasia, consisting of no dysplasia, low-grade dysplasia (LGD) and high-grade dysplasia as recommended by Sampliner (15) was utilized. Demographic data, clinical data and endoscopic and radiographic features were evaluated.

RESULT
Between 1983 and 2003, 19 possible cases of Barrett’s oesophagus were diagnosed with columnar metaplasia or dysplasia in glandular epithelium of the oesophagus. No cases were indexed prior to 1983. Slides were available from 1983 for all but the first case. On review, one case was excluded from analysis as the biopsy was superficial and represented by strips of foveolar epithelium only. The remaining 18 cases are summarized in Table 1 and consisted of 15 men and 3 women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case #</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Clinical diagnosis</th>
<th>Endoscopy</th>
<th>Epithelial type</th>
<th>Dysplasia</th>
<th>Cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Symptomatic reflux, dyspepsia, Ba Meal neg</td>
<td>reflux oesophagitis</td>
<td>Polypoid mass distal 1cm oesophagus</td>
<td>S/J</td>
<td>Adenomatous (Papillary adenoma)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dysphagia x 6/52</td>
<td>Ca oesophagus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Upper abdom pain x 8yrs</td>
<td>Hiatus hernia; duodenal poly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Reflux since birth</td>
<td>Long stricture on Ba swallow (oesophagectomy spec.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Dysphagia x 1yr</td>
<td>oesophagitis</td>
<td>Distal oesophagitis; hiatus hernia</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dyspepsia and longstanding GERD; filling defect middle 1/3 on X-ray</td>
<td>Ca oesophagus</td>
<td>tumour beginning at 35cm</td>
<td>S/J</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Upper GI bleed x1/52</td>
<td>Gastric ulcer</td>
<td>Hiatus hernia + 2 sessile polyps</td>
<td>S/J</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pain low sternal area; dysphagia; wt loss x 4/52</td>
<td>Ca oesophagus/ cardia</td>
<td>Narrowed distal oesophagus + friable tumour</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dysphagia; vomiting; wt loss x 5/12</td>
<td>?Leiomyomatosis</td>
<td>Large polypoid tumour, distal oesophagus with obstruction</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Adenomatous + LGD ?HGD</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Longstanding GERD</td>
<td>Barrett’s oesophagitis</td>
<td>Grade 2 oesophagitis and features suggestive of Barrett’s</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Reflux x 10yrs</td>
<td>Oesophagitis ?Barrett’s</td>
<td></td>
<td>S/J</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chronic GERD; long term PPI</td>
<td>Barrett’s oesophagitis</td>
<td>Short segment Barrett’s</td>
<td>S/J/F</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dysphagia x months</td>
<td>?Ca distal oesophagus</td>
<td>Stenosis distal oesophagus, area friable and oedematous</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
women (ratio 5:1). There was only one resection specimen from an 11-year-old boy with a history of gastro-oesophageal reflux since birth and a long stricture on barium swallow. Figure 1 shows the age distribution. The age range excluding the child was 30–82 years with a mean of 53.8 years. Five cases were from the Cayman Islands where the resident population is more cosmopolitan but only one patient (Case 18) was Caucasian.

Figure 2 shows the histological and histochemical characteristics of Barrett’s oesophagus and associated adenocarcinoma. On review, specialized columnar epithelium, which is considered specific for Barrett’s oesophagus (4, 6) was present in 14/17. Three cases had junctional epithelium

Abdom = abdominal; adenoca = adenocarcinoma; Ba = barium; Bx = biopsy; Ca = carcinoma; Dx = diagnosis; epithelial types F = fundic, J = junctional, S = specialized; GEJ = gastro-oesophageal junction; GERD = gastro-oesophageal reflux disease; HGD = high grade dysplasia; HP = *Helicobacter pylori*; H/O = history of; LGD = low grade dysplasia; mths = months; Neg = negative; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; Rx = therapy; Spec = specimen; vits = vitamins; wgt = weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Barrett’s Oesophagus</th>
<th>Barrett’s Oesophagus</th>
<th>Barrett’s Oesophagus</th>
<th>Barrett’s Oesophagus</th>
<th>Barrett’s Oesophagus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chronic reflux; full dose PPI (pantecta 40mg daily)</td>
<td>Healed reflux oesophagitis R/O Barrett’s</td>
<td>Irregular GEJ + gastric mucosa several cm up tubular oesophagus</td>
<td>1cm below Z-line – S/J</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Reflux; dysphagia on PPI (ogastro &amp; lansoprazole)</td>
<td>Chronic reflux R/O Barrett’s</td>
<td>Z-line several cm up tubular oesophagus</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Abdom pain ?reflux H/O Barrett’s and Stomach infection</td>
<td>R/O Barrett’s; R/O HP</td>
<td>No ulceration or inflammation; Z-line up tubular oesophagus by 1–2cm</td>
<td>S/J/F</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Abdom pain relieved by Nexium</td>
<td>Suggestive of short segment Barrett’s</td>
<td>Suggestive of short segment Barrett’s</td>
<td>Z-line - J;</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Longstanding hiatus hernia; endoscopic Dx Barrett’s and Bx Dx of adenoca (Alternate Rx-antioxidants &amp; vits)</td>
<td>Barrett’s with dysplasia</td>
<td>Definite Barrett’s 3cm above GEJ; hiatus hernia; no inflammation</td>
<td>1cm below – J/F</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2cm below – S/J/F</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1: Age distribution of Barrett’s Oesophagus

Fig 2: Metaplastic specialized columnar epithelium with goblet cells in Barrett’s oesophagus stained with Alcian Blue/PAS while fundic type mucosa (<) remains unstained. Inset Left: (H/E); Inset Right: Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma.
alone while fundic type mucosa was found in combination with specialized columnar epithelium in three cases. There were two associated carcinomas and four cases with dysplasia – two LGD and two villiform or papillary HGD.

**DISCUSSION**

Although the definition of Barrett’s oesophagus has evolved over the years and current guidelines recommend the histological confirmation of intestinal metaplasia in any length of the tubular oesophagus for the diagnosis (6, 15), the wider definition of columnar metaplasia as characterized by Paull et al (14) was used in this report in an attempt to maximize accession. In addition, requisite in the current guidelines is adequate and systematic sampling of abnormal appearing mucosa at endoscopy whereas for the most part, these cases reflected a more random and somewhat limited biopsy protocol. It is also recognized that representative biopsies are often difficult due to the inherent irregularity of the squamo-columnar junction (4) and furthermore inconsistencies are well recognized in the endoscopic recognition and histologic diagnosis of Barrett’s oesophagus. Despite this, Goldblum has suggested that if the endoscopic appearances are suggestive of Barrett’s oesophagus, the absence of specialized columnar epithelium may simply reflect sampling error and the diagnosis may still be strongly suggested (16). It is significant that 14/17 (82%) of the cases in this study had specialized columnar epithelium alone or in conjunction with the other types, confirming the occurrence of Barrett’s oesophagus in this population. High-grade dysplasia, which is often associated with a papillary mass was evident in this study. Mucosal irregularity has also been found to be predictive of the presence of carcinoma (15) and carcinoma was present in one patient (Case 18) in whom mucosal irregularity was noted.

Explanations for the racial discordance presume inherent genetic differences or differences in the prevalence of the various associated factors (4). Since the original description, gastro-oesophageal reflux and hiatus hernia have long been recognized as significant associated aetiologic factors (1). Spechler et al have found statistically significant racial differences in frequency and complications of GERD (8) among White, Black and Asian patients. Simko and Ayoade however, found that although hiatus hernias were less common and smaller in African-Americans as compared to Caucasians and Hispanics, large hiatal hernias did occur and therefore they surmised that it was unlikely that distal oesophageal competence could explain the rarity of Barrett’s oesophagus in Blacks (17). Segal proposed that the critical factor preventing GERD in black Africans was *Helicobacter pylori* (HP) infection, which was acquired in childhood and was probably protective of the oesophagus (9). This has been supported by Wang et al who found that HP infection and Barrett’s oesophagus are inversely related, suggesting a protective role of HP against its development (18). *Helicobacter pylori* infection is common in the Caribbean with reported rates exceeding 50% (19, 20, 21) and seroprevalence rates approaching 70% (22).

Obesity and increased body mass index (BMI) are implicated in the genesis of GERD but their role in the development of BE is controversial. Chang et al found no statistically significant relationship between Barrett’s oesophagus and BMI in a study of 137 patients with BE and 138 age and sex-matched controls (23). Epidemiologic data from Jamaica showed that 26.1% of males and 32.5% of females were overweight while 8.5% of males and 33.9% of females were obese when BMI was classified as normal (< 25), overweight (25 – < 30), and obese (≥ 30) (24). Zohoori et al further reported that over the age of 50 years, which is the population at risk for Barrett’s oesophagus, there was a decrease in the prevalence of overweight with an increased prevalence of underweight (25). Therefore, increased BMI does not seem to be a significant risk factor for the development of Barrett’s oesophagus in Caribbean people. Alcohol intake and cigarette smoking, which are significantly associated with squamous cell carcinoma, are also apparently not major risk factors. In this study, the male predominance is consistent but the mean age at diagnosis of 53.8 years is a decade younger than that reported by Cameron and Lomboy (5). The finding of two cases of adenocarcinoma representing 11% prevalence is also consistent with previous reports (4).

These findings confirm that Barrett’s oesophagus occurs in Caribbean populations, albeit infrequently, as was expected for a population predominantly of African descent but the pattern of disease and associated development of adenocarcinoma is characteristic. Systematic evaluation of the gastro-oesophageal junction is therefore warranted even in Caribbean nationals. The reasons for the racial differences remain moot but appear to be more related to varying prevalence of associated aetiologic factors than to genetic differences.
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